



To: Renfrewshire Integration Joint Board

On: 15 January 2016

Report by: Chief Officer, Renfrewshire Health and Social Care Partnership

Heading: Audit Scotland Report on Health and Social Care Integration

1. Summary

- 1.1 Audit Scotland have published a report outlining progress towards the integration of health and social care in Scotland. The report focuses on the transition year 2015/16.
 - 1.2 Auditors have highlighted a number of risks and issues which integration authorities should be aware of as they move towards full delegation of functions from 1st April 2016.
 - 1.3 The report also makes recommendations for the Scottish Government, for integration authorities, and jointly for integration authorities, Councils and Health Boards.
 - 1.4 This report details the main findings from the Audit Scotland report and outlines some areas of local progress which show how work in Renfrewshire is addressing national issues raised by Audit Scotland.
 - 1.5 The full Audit Scotland report is attached at Appendix 1 for information.
-

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 Members are asked to:
 - Note the contents of the Audit Scotland report
 - Note the progress to date to establish the Renfrewshire Health and Social Care Partnership
 - Note that the content of future Audit Scotland reports on health and social care integration will be brought to this Board for consideration.

3. Background

- 3.1 Audit Scotland have undertaken the first of three planned audits examining progress with the integration of health and social care. The report on this first audit, which looked at progress during the transitional year, was published in December 2015. Subsequent audits will look at progress after the first year of establishment, and the longer-term impact of integration in shifting resources towards community-based services and preventative interventions and in improving outcomes for people who use services.
- 3.2 Fieldwork to inform the report was carried out in October 2015 and the findings are based on evidence which includes documents published by integration authorities (integration schemes, strategic plans etc), the work of local auditors, the Care Inspectorate and Healthcare Improvement Scotland, surveys completed by integration authorities, and interviews with key stakeholders. Renfrewshire was not one of the areas included in the sample for detailed review.

Findings of the Report

- 3.3 Audit Scotland found that there is widespread support for the principles of integration within the organisations implementing the changes, and that progress is being made with implementation arrangements. However, the report notes that there are still concerns about the practicalities of integration. The report identifies a number of risks and issues which need to be addressed if there is to be a fundamental shift in the way health and social care services are delivered.
- 3.4 Potential risks and issues in relation to governance include:
- The size of Integration Joint Boards, specifically that larger boards may find it difficult to reach agreement and make key decisions. One example of a board deemed too large has 23 members.
 - The potential for conflicts of interest to arise, as voting members of IJBs have a dual function, since they continue to serve as members of either a Council or a Health Board. There is also the potential for conflict of interest for senior managers, who must support the needs of the IJB but also have responsibilities to their employer.
 - The need to establish clear scrutiny arrangements, which include mechanisms for linking back to Councils and Health Boards
 - The establishment of clear procedures for clinical and care governance
 - The need for a clear understanding, not only within the partnership but beyond, of lines of accountability. The IJB is responsible for planning and commissioning services, but the responsibility for delivering services lies with Councils and Health Boards.
- 3.5 In relation to planning for integration, Audit Scotland highlight the following issues and potential risks:

- Strategic plans already published tend to be aspirational and high level, and lack important detail about how resources will be utilised and how integration will improve services.
 - The performance measurement systems proposed by the Scottish Government will not provide sufficient information to demonstrate that integration is delivering the change expected.
 - The role of localities in planning and delivery of services is not well developed
 - There is a pressing need for detailed workforce planning which links resources to service developments and strategic priorities.
 - In many areas, supporting strategies (data sharing plans, risk management plans etc) are still to be produced.
- 3.6 The report raises concerns about the funding for integration authorities and notes the challenges in agreeing budgets. Audit Scotland also believe that there may be difficulty in evidencing that savings are being delivered as a result of integration.
- 3.7 More generally, it is noted that guidance issued by the Scottish Government has not been timeous in relation to the legislative requirements on integration authorities. A number of authorities had already prepared strategic plans and/or made arrangements for locality planning before guidance was issued in 2015. Similarly, guidance on performance frameworks has not yet been issued.
- 3.8 Based on these risks and issues, Audit Scotland indicate that there may be limited scope for integration authorities to have an immediate impact on reshaping local services.

Audit Scotland Recommendations

- 3.9 The report makes a number of recommendations not only for integration authorities but for the Scottish Government and jointly for integration authorities, Councils and Health Boards. These are summarised below.
- 3.10 The Scottish Government should:
- Work with integration authorities to help them develop performance monitoring in order to demonstrate impact of integrated services
 - Monitor and publicly report on national progress on the impact of integration
 - Provide ongoing support to integration authorities, including leadership development, and sharing of good practice.
- 3.11 Integration authorities should:
- Provide clear and strategic leadership to take forward the integration agenda, establishing a culture of openness, respect and support

- Set out clear and practical governance arrangements which include arrangements for managing complex accountabilities and potential conflicts
- Ensure a constructive working relationship between Integration Joint Board members, the Chief Officer, Chief Finance Officer and the public
- Be rigorous and transparent in their decision making, demonstrating evidence-based decisions, effective risk management and audit procedures, and a willingness to response to constructive scrutiny
- Develop strategic plans which do more than set out the local context for reforms
- Develop financial plans clearly showing a shift towards community-based services and preventative spend
- Demonstrate a shift in resources towards community-based health and preventative interventions.

3.12 Integration authorities should work jointly with Councils and NHS Boards to:

- Recognise and address the practical risks arising from complex accountability arrangements
- Review clinical and care governance arrangements to ensure consistency for each integrated service and alignment with arrangements in local authorities and Health Boards
- Urgently agree budgets for integration authorities
- Establish effective scrutiny arrangements to ensure Councillors and non-executive NHS Board members who are not on the Integration Joint Board are kept fully informed of the impact of integration on people who use services
- Put data-sharing agreements in place.

Local progress

- 3.13 Many of the risks and issues identified by Audit Scotland have been recognised locally in Renfrewshire and work has been ongoing throughout the transition year to address these.
- 3.14 Renfrewshire HSCP has ambitious plans for development but recognises that much of this work will take place in 2016/17 and beyond. Staff and stakeholder engagement has been a central element in work to date and this will continue.
- 3.15 Good progress has been made in terms of developing a number of key supporting plans, and some of these have already been approved by the IJB. Clinical and care governance arrangements have been established and ensure strong links with other Council and NHS services and clear professional leadership for practitioners.

- 3.16 A Strategic Plan first draft was approved in November 2015 and our consultation draft is being considered at the January 2016 IJB. The plan has been drafted in consultation with the Strategic Planning Group. A performance framework has already been developed and the final draft will include a financial framework.
- 3.17 Renfrewshire Health and Social Care Partnership will use the findings from the Audit Scotland report to guide and support its wider organisational development.
-

Implications of the Report

1. **Financial** – *None*.
 2. **HR & Organisational Development** - *None*
 3. **Community Planning** – **None**
 4. **Legal** - *None*
 5. **Property/Assets** - *None*
 6. **Information Technology** - *None*
 7. **Equality & Human Rights** - The Recommendations contained within this report have been assessed in relation to their impact on equalities and human rights. No negative impacts on equality groups or potential for infringement of individuals' human rights have been identified arising from the recommendations contained in the report because for example it is for noting only. If required following implementation, the actual impact of the recommendations and the mitigating actions will be reviewed and monitored, and the results of the assessment will be published on the Council's website.
 8. **Health & Safety** - *None*
 9. **Procurement** – *None*.
 10. **Risk** - *None*
 11. **Privacy Impact** - *None*
-

List of Background Papers - *None*

Author: *Lisa Fingland, Strategic Commissioning & Planning Officer*
Tel: 0141 618 6812, *Lisa.Fingland@renfrewshire.gcsx.gov.uk*

Health and social care series

Health and social care integration



ACCOUNTS COMMISSION 

AUDITOR GENERAL 

Prepared by Audit Scotland
December 2015


The Accounts Commission

The Accounts Commission is the public spending watchdog for local government. We hold councils in Scotland to account and help them improve. We operate impartially and independently of councils and of the Scottish Government, and we meet and report in public.

We expect councils to achieve the highest standards of governance and financial stewardship, and value for money in how they use their resources and provide their services.

Our work includes:

- securing and acting upon the external audit of Scotland's councils and various joint boards and committees
- assessing the performance of councils in relation to Best Value and community planning
- carrying out national performance audits to help councils improve their services
- requiring councils to publish information to help the public assess their performance.

You can find out more about the work of the Accounts Commission on our website: www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/about/ac 


Auditor General for Scotland

The Auditor General's role is to:

- appoint auditors to Scotland's central government and NHS bodies
- examine how public bodies spend public money
- help them to manage their finances to the highest standards
- check whether they achieve value for money.

The Auditor General is independent and reports to the Scottish Parliament on the performance of:

- directorates of the Scottish Government
- government agencies, eg the Scottish Prison Service, Historic Scotland
- NHS bodies
- further education colleges
- Scottish Water
- NDPBs and others, eg Scottish Police Authority, Scottish Fire and Rescue Service.

You can find out more about the work of the Auditor General on our website: www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/about/ags 


Audit Scotland is a statutory body set up in April 2000 under the Public Finance and Accountability (Scotland) Act 2000. We help the Auditor General for Scotland and the Accounts Commission check that organisations spending public money use it properly, efficiently and effectively.

Contents



Key facts	4
Summary	5
Part 1. Expectations for integrated services	9
Part 2. Current progress	20
Part 3. Current issues	25
Part 4. Recommendations	39
Endnotes	42
Appendix 1. Audit methodology	44
Appendix 2. Scottish Government core integration indicators	45

Key facts



Summary



Key messages

- 1** The Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014 introduces a significant programme of reform affecting most health and care services and over £8 billion of public money. The reforms aim to ensure services are well integrated and that people receive the care they need at the right time and in the right setting, with a focus on community-based and preventative care. The reforms are far reaching, creating opportunities to overcome previous barriers to change.
 - 2** We found widespread support for the principles of integration from the individuals and organisations implementing the changes. The Scottish Government has provided support to partnerships to establish the new arrangements, including detailed guidance on key issues and access to data to help with strategic planning. Stakeholders are putting in place the required governance and management arrangements and, as a result, all 31 integration authorities (IAs) are expected to be operational by the statutory deadline of 1 April 2016.
 - 3** Despite this progress, there are significant risks which need to be addressed if integration is to fundamentally change the delivery of health and care services. There is evidence to suggest that IAs will not be in a position to make a major impact during 2016/17. Difficulties in agreeing budgets and uncertainty about longer-term funding mean that they have not yet set out comprehensive strategic plans. There is broad agreement on the principles of integration. But many IAs have still to set out clear targets and timescales showing how they will make a difference to people who use health and social care services. These issues need to be addressed by April 2016 if IAs are to take a lead in improving local services.
 - 4** There are other important issues which also need to be addressed. The proposed governance arrangements are complex, with some uncertainty about how they will work in practice. This will make it difficult for staff and the public to understand who is responsible for the care they receive. There are significant long-term workforce issues. IAs risk inheriting workforces that have been organised in response to budget pressures rather than strategic needs. Other issues include different terms and conditions for NHS and council staff, and difficulties in recruiting and retaining GPs and care staff.
-

there are significant risks which need to be addressed if integration is to fundamentally change the delivery of health and care services

Recommendations

Stakeholders have done well to get the systems in place for integration, but much work remains. If the reforms are to be successful in improving outcomes for people, there are other important issues that need to be addressed:

- Partners need to set out clearly how governance arrangements will work in practice, particularly when disagreements arise. This is because there are potentially confusing lines of accountability and potential conflicts of interests for board members and staff. There is a risk that this could hamper the ability of an IA to make decisions about the changes involved in redesigning services. People may also be unclear who is ultimately responsible for the quality of care. In addition, Integration Joint Board (IJB) members need training and development to help them fulfil their role.
- IAs must have strategic plans that do more than set out the local context for the reforms. To deliver care in different ways, that better meets people's needs and improves outcomes, IAs need to set out clearly:
 - the resources, such as funding and skills, that they need
 - what success will look like
 - how they will monitor and publicly report on the impact of their plans.
- NHS boards and councils must work with IAs to agree budgets for the new IAs. This should cover both their first year and the next few years to give them the continuity and certainty they need to develop and implement strategic plans. IAs should be clear about how they will use resources to integrate services and improve outcomes.

Integration authorities need to shift resources, including the workforce, towards a more preventative and community-based approach. Even more importantly, they must show that this is making a positive impact on service users and improving outcomes.

A more comprehensive list of recommendations is set out in [\(Part 4\)](#).

Background

1. The Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014 (the Act) sets out a framework for integrating adult health and social care services. Social care services include supporting people to live their daily lives and helping them with basic personal care like washing, dressing and eating. People are living longer and the number of people with long-term conditions such as diabetes, and complex needs, such as multiple long-term conditions, is increasing. Current health and social care services are unsustainable; they must adapt to meet these changing needs. This means shifting from hospital care towards community-based services, and preventative services, such as support to help prevent older people from falling at home or to encourage people to be more active.

2. Integrating health and social care services has been a key government policy for many years. Despite this, there has been limited evidence of a shift to more community-based and preventative services. The Act sets out an ambitious programme of reform affecting most health and social care services. The scale and pace of the changes anticipated are significant, with a focus on changing how people with health and social care needs are supported.

3. The Act creates new partnerships, known as IAs, with statutory responsibilities to coordinate local health and social care services. The Act puts in place several national outcomes for health and social care and IAs are accountable for making improvements to these outcomes. The Act also aims to ensure that services are integrated, taking account of people's needs and making best use of available resources, such as staff and money. Each IA must establish at least two localities, which have a key role, working with professionals and the local community to develop services local people need.

4. IAs are currently at various stages in their development; all are required to be operational, that is taking on responsibility for budgets and services, by April 2016. The Scottish Government has estimated that IAs will oversee annual budgets totalling over £8 billion, around two-thirds of Scotland's spending on health and social work.

About this audit

5. This is the first of three planned audits of this major reform programme. Subsequent audits will look at IAs' progress after their first year of being established, and their longer-term impact in shifting resources to preventative services and community-based care and in improving outcomes for the people who use these services.



6. This first audit provides a progress report during this transitional year. We reviewed progress at this relatively early stage to provide a picture of the emerging arrangements for setting up, managing and scrutinising IAs as they become formally established. This report highlights risks that need to be addressed as a priority to ensure the reforms succeed. The audit is based on fieldwork that was carried out up to October 2015. We hope that the issues raised in the report are timely and helpful to the Scottish Government and local partners as they continue to implement the Act.

7. We gathered audit evidence by:

- reviewing documents available at the time of our work, including integration schemes, strategic plans, and local progress reports on integration arrangements¹
- drawing on the work of local auditors, the Care Inspectorate, and Healthcare Improvement Scotland
- issuing a short questionnaire to IAs on their timetable for reaching various milestones

- interviewing stakeholders who included, board members, chief officers and finance officers from six IAs, and representatives from the Scottish Government, the British Medical Association, the voluntary sector, the Convention of Scottish Local Authorities and NHS Information Services Division.²

[Appendix 1](#) provides further information on our audit approach.

8. This work builds on previous audits that have examined joint working in health and social care. For example, our [Review of Community Health Partnerships \[PDF\]](#)  highlighted the organisational barriers to improving partnership working between NHS boards and councils, and the importance of strong, shared leadership across health and social care.³ Our subsequent report [Reshaping care for older people \[PDF\]](#)  found continuing slow progress in providing joined up health and social care services.⁴ This lack of progress in fundamentally shifting the balance of care from hospital to community settings, coupled with the unsustainability of current services, mean that there is a pressing need for this latest reform programme to succeed.

9. The Accounts Commission and Auditor General are currently conducting two other audits which complement this work:

- *Changing models of health and social care* examines the financial, demographic and other pressures facing health and social care and the implications of implementing the Scottish Government's 2020 vision for health and social care. We will publish the report in in spring 2016.
- *Social work in Scotland* will report on the scale of the financial and demand pressures facing social work. It will consider the strategies councils and integration authorities are adopting to address these challenges, how service users and carers are being involved in designing services, and leadership and oversight by elected members. We will publish the report in summer 2016.

Part 1

Expectations for integrated services



Integration authorities will oversee more than £8 billion of NHS and care resources

10. The Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014 sets out a significant programme of reform for the Scottish public sector. It creates a number of new public organisations, with a view to breaking down barriers to joint working between NHS boards and councils. Its overarching aim is to improve the support given to people using health and social care services.

11. These new partnerships will manage more than £8 billion of resources that NHS boards and councils previously managed separately. Initially, service users may not see any direct change. In most cases, people seeking support will continue to contact their GP or social work services. But, behind the scenes, IAs are expected to coordinate health and care services, commissioning NHS boards and councils to deliver services in line with a local strategic plan. Over time, the intention is that this will lead to a change in how services are provided. There will be a greater emphasis on preventative services and allowing people to receive care and support in their home or local community rather than being admitted to hospital.

Change is needed to help meet the needs of an ageing population and increasing demands on services

12. Around two million people in Scotland have at least one long-term condition, and one in four adults has some form of long-term illness or disability. These become more common with age ([Exhibit 1, page 10](#)). By the age of 75, almost two-thirds of people will have developed a long-term condition.⁵ People in Scotland are living longer. Combined life expectancy for males and females at birth has increased from 72 to 79 years since 1980, although there are significant variations across Scotland, largely linked to levels of deprivation and inequalities.⁶ The population aged over 75 years is projected to increase by a further 63 per cent over the next 20 years.⁷

13. The ageing population and increasing numbers of people with long-term conditions and complex needs have already placed significant pressure on health and social care services. The Scottish Government estimates that the need for these services will rise by between 18 and 29 per cent between 2010 and 2030.⁸ In the face of these increasing demands, the current model of health and care services is unsustainable:

- The Scottish Government has estimated that in any given year just two per cent of the population (around 100,000 people) account for 50 per cent of hospital and prescribing costs, and 75 per cent of unplanned hospital bed days.

the
significant
changes
under way
will have an
impact on
everyone
who needs
to access,
provide or
plan health
and social
care services


- A patient's discharge from hospital may be delayed when they are judged to be clinically ready to leave hospital but unable to leave because arrangements for care, support or accommodation have not been put in place. In 2014/15, this led to the NHS in Scotland using almost 625,000 hospital bed days for patients ready to be discharged.⁹

14. As a result of these pressures, there is widespread recognition that health and social care services need to be provided in fundamentally different ways. NHS boards, councils and the Scottish Government have focused significant efforts on initiatives to reduce unplanned hospital admissions and delayed discharges, yet pressures on hospitals remain. There needs to be a greater focus on anticipatory care, helping to reduce admissions to hospitals. There also needs to be better support to allow people to live independently in the community.

Exhibit 1

Long-term conditions by age

The number of long-term conditions that people have increases with age.



Source: Reprinted with permission from Elsevier (*The Lancet*, 2012, 380, 37-43)

15. None of this is unique to Scotland. Other parts of the UK and Europe face similar challenges. There have been various responses across the UK, but all try to deal with the changing needs of an ageing population, putting more emphasis on prevention and anticipatory care and seeking to shift resources from hospitals to community-based care.

16. A series of initiatives in Scotland over recent years has aimed to encourage a more joined-up approach to health and social care ([Exhibit 2](#)). Perhaps the most significant of these was creating Local Health Care Cooperatives (LHCCs) in 1999 and replacing them with Community Health Partnerships (CHPs) in 2004. While these reforms led to some local initiatives, LHCCs and CHPs lacked the authority to redesign services fundamentally. As a result, they had limited impact in shifting the balance of care, or in reducing admissions to hospital or delayed discharges.¹⁰

Exhibit 2


A brief history of integration in Scotland

1999	Seventy-nine Local Health Care Cooperatives (LHCCs) established, bringing together GPs and other primary healthcare professionals in an effort to increase partnership working between the NHS, social work and the voluntary sector.
2002	Community Care and Health (Scotland) Act introduced powers, but not duties, for NHS boards and councils to work together more effectively.
2004	NHS Reform (Scotland) Act , required health boards to establish CHPs, replacing LHCCs. This was a further attempt to bridge gaps between community-based care, such as GPs, and secondary healthcare, such as hospital services, and between health and social care.
2005	Building a Health Service Fit for the Future: National Framework for Service Change . This set out a new approach for the NHS that focused on more preventative healthcare, with a key role for CHPs in shifting the balance of care from acute hospitals to community settings.
2007	Better Health, Better Care set out the Scottish Government's five-year action plan, giving the NHS lead responsibility for working with partners to move care out of hospitals and into the community.
2010	Reshaping Care for Older People Programme launched by the Scottish Government. It introduced the Change Fund to encourage closer collaboration between NHS boards, councils and the voluntary sector.
2014	Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act introduced a statutory duty for NHS boards and councils to integrate the planning and delivery of health and social care services.
2016	All integration arrangements set out in the 2014 Act must be in place by 1 April 2016.

Source: Audit Scotland

17. The relative lack of progress of earlier attempts at integration led to the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014. This is the first attempt in the UK to place a statutory duty on the NHS and councils to integrate health and social care services. The Act abolished CHPs, replacing them with a series of IAs ([Exhibit 3, page 12](#)). These bodies will manage budgets for providing all integrated services. Most will not initially employ staff, but instead direct NHS boards and councils to deliver services in line with a strategic plan.

Exhibit 3
The public sector bodies overseeing health and social care services



Note: See Exhibit 4 for details of Integration Joint Board and lead agency approaches.
Source: Audit Scotland

The Scottish Government has set out a broad framework that allows for local flexibility

18. The Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014 sets out a broad framework for creating IAs. The Act and the supporting regulations and guidance give councils and NHS boards a great deal of flexibility, allowing them to develop integrated services that are best suited to local circumstances. The main aspects of this flexible framework follow below.

Timing for establishing the new integration authorities

19. Scottish ministers must formally approve integration schemes for IAs: these set out the scope of services that are to be integrated and broad management and governance arrangements, including the structures and processes for

decision-making and accountability, controls and behaviour. Within this overall framework, IAs can choose when they become operational but all IAs must be established and operational, with delegated responsibility for budgets and services, by 1 April 2016.¹¹ Subject to the approval of their integration scheme, they can take on delegated responsibility for budgets and services at any time between April 2015 and 1 April 2016.

Scope of services to be integrated

20. Councils and NHS boards are required to integrate the governance, planning and resourcing of adult social care services, adult primary care and community health services and some hospital services. The hospital services included in integration are the inpatient medical specialties that have the largest proportion of emergency admissions to hospital. These include:

- accident and emergency services
- general medicine
- geriatric medicine
- rehabilitation medicine
- respiratory medicine
- psychiatry of learning disability
- palliative care
- addiction and substance dependence service
- mental health services and services provided by GPs in hospital.

Other, non-integrated, hospital services continue to be overseen directly by NHS boards. The Act also allows NHS boards and councils to integrate other areas of activity, such as children's health and social care services and criminal justice social work.

How IAs are structured


21. IAs will be responsible for overseeing certain functions that are delegated from the local NHS board and council(s). IAs can follow one of two main structural models ([Exhibit 4, page 14](#)).

22. All areas, apart from Highland, are planning to follow the body corporate model, creating an Integration Joint Board to plan and commission integrated health and social care services in their areas. IJBs are local government bodies, as defined by Section 106 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973. Partners will need to understand the implications of differences between how councils and NHS boards carry out their business, so they are able to fulfil their duties. For example:

- IJBs must appoint a finance officer. The finance officer, under the terms of Section 95 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, has formal responsibilities for the financial affairs of the IJB.

Exhibit 4
Integration authorities will follow one of two main models


Body corporate or Integration Joint Board model



Body corporate

- NHS boards and councils delegate health and social care functions to an Integration Joint Board (IJB)
- The Act allows for partners to work jointly, for example, for two councils to work with their local NHS board to create a single IJB

Lead agency model



Lead agency

- NHS boards and councils delegate some of their functions to each other
- Carrying out of functions is overseen and scrutinised by an Integration Joint Monitoring Committee

- The way local government bodies make decisions differs to NHS boards. Local government bodies in Scotland must take corporate decisions. There is no legal provision for policies being made by individual councillors.
- A statutory duty of Best Value applies to IJBs.

23. NHS boards and councils delegate budgets to the IJB. The IJB decides how to use these resources to achieve the objectives of the strategic plan. The IJB then directs the NHS board and council to deliver services in line with this plan. Only Highland has chosen the lead agency model, continuing arrangements established in earlier years for integrated services.¹² Under powers first set out in the Community Care (Scotland) Act 2002, NHS Highland is the lead for adult health and care services, with Highland Council the lead for children's community health and social care services. This provides continuity with lead agency arrangements in place in Highland since 2012. The council and the NHS board cannot veto decisions taken by the lead agency. Instead, as required by the legislation, they have established an integration joint monitoring committee (IJMC). The IJMC cannot overturn a decision made by the council or NHS board, but it can monitor progress in integrating services and make recommendations.

24. Whichever model is chosen, the underlying objective remains the same. The IA is expected to use resources to commission coordinated services that provide care for individuals in their community or in a homely setting and avoid unnecessary admissions to hospital.

Membership of Integration Joint Boards (IJBs)

25. For the IAs that follow the body corporate model, board members of IJBs are a mix of voting and non-voting members. Councils and NHS boards are each required to nominate at least three voting members. The NHS board and council can nominate more members, but both partners need to agree to this and the number from each body needs to be equal. The NHS board nominates non-executive directors to the IJB, and the council nominates councillors. Where the NHS board is unable to fill their places with non-executive directors, it is able to nominate other members of the NHS board. At least two of the NHS members should be non-executive directors. The IJB should also include non-voting members, including a service user and a representative from the voluntary sector ([Exhibit 5, page 16](#)).¹³

26. Initially, IJBs are not expected to directly employ staff, operating only as strategic commissioning bodies.¹⁴ This may change over time as the Act allows IJBs to employ staff, but this needs to be approved by Scottish ministers, rather than decided locally. A chief officer and finance officer provide support for the IJB, but they are employed by either the council or NHS board and seconded to the IJB. The finance officer, under the terms of Section 95 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, has formal responsibilities for the financial affairs of the IJB.

Scrutinising integrated health and social care


27. Various scrutiny bodies have an interest in the integration of health and social care:

- The Accounts Commission is responsible for appointing auditors to IJBs and so has an interest in financial management and governance arrangements. As local government bodies, IJBs are also covered by the duty of Best Value as set out in the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003. The Accounts Commission has the power to audit the extent to which local government bodies are discharging their Best Value duty.

- Health and social integration is a significant national policy development. Therefore, the Auditor General for Scotland (alongside the Accounts Commission) has an audit interest in the extent to which it is being implemented at a national and local level, and in its impact on NHSScotland.
- The Care Inspectorate and Healthcare Improvement Scotland are responsible for scrutinising and supporting improvement in health and care services. Both organisations inspect individual services and work together to perform joint inspections of health and care services. These organisations will inspect the planning, organisation or coordination of

Exhibit 5

Organisation chart for a typical IJB




integrated health and social care services. From April 2017, the Care Inspectorate and Healthcare Improvement Scotland are required by legislation to assess progress in establishing joint strategic commissioning and the early impact of integration.

Implications for the public, voluntary and private sectors

28. The significant changes under way will have an impact on everyone who needs to access, provide or plan health and social care services. Integration is part of the Scottish Government's focus on developing person-centred care. This is aimed at improving services, ensuring people using health and social care services can expect to be listened to, to be involved in deciding upon the care they receive and to be an active participant in how it is delivered. The aim is that this will result in improved outcomes for people, enabling them to enjoy better health and wellbeing within their homes and communities.

29. Health and social care integration is complex and it is important that IAs engage with the public on an ongoing basis so that they understand the purpose of integration and are able to influence the way services change. People may not see a significant difference in the services they receive immediately, but the reforms are focused on making better use of all health and social care services. Therefore there are implications for how people use services, for example GP, A&E and community-based services. If the reforms are to be successful, IJBs, NHS boards and councils need to involve people in decisions about the implications for local services. To help with this, there is a requirement that a service user and unpaid carer are members of the IJB and that IJBs consult and engage with local people as they develop their strategic and locality plans. It is also important that IAs are clear about how they link into the wider community planning process.

30. It is not only statutory services that need to change, other providers need to be involved. Voluntary and private sector providers employ two-thirds of the social services workforce and provide many social care services across Scotland. They are significant partners in developing integrated services, with the voluntary sector represented on the IJB as a non-voting member. Our previous report [Self-directed support \[PDF\]](#)  highlighted some of the ways that councils have started to change how they work with the voluntary and private sectors.¹⁵ There are lessons here for IJBs.

Localities

31. The Act requires IAs to divide their area into at least two localities, but they can choose to create more. Localities have an important role in reforming how to deliver services. They bring together local GPs and other health and care professionals, along with service users, to help plan and decide how to make changes to local services. A representative from each locality is expected to be part of the IA's strategic planning group, helping to ensure that specific local needs are taken into account. Localities also have a consultative role. When an IA is planning a change that is likely to affect service provision in a locality significantly, it must involve representatives of the local population in that decision.

32. As part of their role in planning services, localities are expected to plan expenditure on integrated health and social care services in their area, based on local priorities and to help shift resources towards preventative and community-based health and care services.

Outcomes and performance measures

33. IAs are required to contribute towards nine national health and wellbeing outcomes (**Exhibit 6**). These high-level outcomes seek to measure the quality of health and social care services and their impact in, for example, allowing people to live independently and in good health, and reducing health inequalities. This is the first time that outcomes have been set out in legislation, signalling an important shift from measuring internal processes to assessing the impact on people using health and social care services. IAs are required to produce an annual performance report, publicly reporting on the progress they have made towards improving outcomes.

The Scottish Government is providing resources to help support integration

34. The integration of health and social care is a complex reform and the Scottish Government is providing support to help organisations as they establish the new arrangements. The Scottish Government will provide more than £500 million over the three years from 2015/16 to 2017/18 to help partnerships establish new ways of working that focus on prevention and early intervention in a bid to reduce

Exhibit 6

National health and wellbeing outcomes

IAs are required to contribute to achieving nine national outcomes.

- | | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | People are able to look after and improve their own health and wellbeing and live in good health for longer. |
| 2 | People, including those with disabilities or long-term conditions, or who are frail, are able to live, as far as reasonably practicable, independently and at home or in a homely setting in their community. |
| 3 | People who use health and social care services have positive experiences of those services, and have their dignity respected. |
| 4 | Health and social care services are centred on helping to maintain or improve the quality of life of people who use those services. |
| 5 | Health and social care services contribute to reducing health inequalities. |
| 6 | People who provide unpaid care are supported to look after their own health and wellbeing, including to reduce any negative impact of their caring role on their own health and wellbeing. |
| 7 | People who use health and social care services are safe from harm. |
| 8 | People who work in health and social care services feel engaged with the work they do and are supported to continuously improve the information, support, care and treatment they provide. |
| 9 | Resources are used effectively and efficiently in the provision of health and social care services. |

Source: National Health and Wellbeing Outcomes, Scottish Government

long-term costs. This money is not directly to support integration, but to continue initiatives that were already under way to improve services. The money is made up as follows:

- £300 million is an integrated care fund to help partnerships achieve the national health and wellbeing outcomes and move towards preventative services
- £100 million to reduce delayed discharges
- £30 million for telehealth
- £60 million to support improvements in primary care
- £51.5 million for a social care fund.

35. The Scottish Government has provided guidance to partnerships, covering issues such as strategic commissioning of health and care services, clinical and care governance, and the role of housing services and the voluntary sector. The timescales to implement the Act are tight. For some partnerships, guidance came too late. For example, the Scottish Government issued its guidance on localities in July 2015, yet localities play an important part in strategic plans and many partnerships had already begun the strategic planning process by then. The Scottish Government plans to issue further guidance on performance reporting late in 2015. However, for some areas this is coming too late – the three Ayrshire IJBs will present their first performance reports on or before 2 April 2016 and are developing these in advance of the guidance being issued.

36. The Scottish Government is supplementing this formal guidance with a series of support networks for IJB chairs and finance officers, such as regular learning events, and through the work of the Joint Improvement Team (JIT), including support for IJBs in developing their strategic plans.¹⁶ Healthcare Improvement Scotland and the Care Inspectorate are currently developing a support programme for IAs, tailoring training and development events to fit local needs.

37. IAs are also being supported by the Information Services Division (ISD) of NHS National Services Scotland. ISD is creating a single source of data on health, social care and demographics. It is making this information available to NHS boards, councils and IAs to help them to gain a better understanding of:

- the needs of their local population
- current patterns of care
- how resources are being used.

38. This is the first time this detailed information on activity and costs will be routinely available to partnerships to help them with strategic planning. It will also help inform decisions on how to better use resources to improve outcomes for service users and carers. ISD is also providing data and analytical support through a Local Intelligence Support Team initiative, where partnerships can have an information specialist from ISD working with them in their local area.

Part 2

Current progress



Integration authorities are being established during 2015/16

39. Thirty-one IAs are being established, with one for each council area and a shared one between Clackmannanshire and Stirling. All partners submitted their draft integration schemes to Scottish ministers by the April 2015 deadline. Some, such as East Dunbartonshire, already plan to extend the scope of services being integrated and will resubmit their integration scheme for approval. By October 2015, 25 integration schemes had been formally approved, with the remainder expected to be agreed by the end of 2015.

40. By October 2015, six IAs had been established and taken on operational responsibility for budgets and services ([Exhibit 7, page 21](#)). The remaining IAs plan to be operational just before the statutory deadline, in March and April 2016.

Most integration authorities will oversee more than the statutory minimum services, and their responsibilities vary widely

41. The Act requires councils and NHS boards to integrate adult health and social care services. But it also allows them to integrate other services, such as children's health and social care services and criminal justice social work services.

42. The scope of the services being integrated varies widely across Scotland. Almost all the IAs will oversee more than the minimum requirement for health services, mainly by including some aspects of children's health services. But there is a wide range in responsibilities for other areas, such as children's social work services, criminal justice social work services, and planned acute health services ([Exhibit 8, page 22](#)). These differences in the scope of services included create a risk of fragmented services in some areas. Good clinical and care governance arrangements will be important to ensure that vulnerable people using integrated and non-integrated services experience high standards of care.


43. Among the variations the most notable are in Argyll and Bute IJB and Dumfries and Galloway IJB. These IJBs will oversee all NHS acute services, including planned and unplanned hospital services. In theory, this should allow these IJBs to better coordinate all health and care services in their area.

44. Various 'hosting' arrangements are also being implemented across the country. Where the area covered by an NHS board has more than one IJB it is often not practical or cost-effective to set up separate arrangements to deliver services for individual IJBs. This is particularly the case for specialist services, such as certain inpatient mental health services with small numbers of patients or staff. For example, North Ayrshire IJB hosts the following services on behalf of East Ayrshire and South Ayrshire IJBs:

the scope
of the
services
being
integrated
varies widely
across
Scotland

Exhibit 7

Services will be delegated to IAs throughout 2015/16 with most delegating in April 2016



Notes:

1. The date of becoming operational is still to be agreed in Perth and Kinross.
2. Curam Is Slainte is the name for the partnership between NHS Western Isles and Comhairle nan Eilean Siar.

Source: Audit Scotland

Exhibit 8

Additional integrated services

Partnerships are integrating a wider range of services in addition to the statutory minimum.

Argyll and Bute				
East Ayrshire				–
East Renfrewshire				–
Glasgow				–
Inverclyde				–
North Ayrshire				–
Orkney				–
South Ayrshire				–
West Dunbartonshire				–
Aberdeen City	–			–
Aberdeenshire	–			–
Curam Is Slainte	–			–
East Lothian	–			–
Midlothian	–			–
Moray	–			–
Shetland	–			–
Highland		–		–
Dumfries and Galloway	–	–		
Angus	–	–		–
Borders	–	–		–
Clackmannanshire and Stirling	–	–		–
Dundee	–	–		–
East Dunbartonshire	–	–		–
Edinburgh	–	–		–
Falkirk	–	–		–
Fife	–	–		–
North Lanarkshire	–	–		–
Perth and Kinross	–	–		–
Renfrewshire	–	–		–
South Lanarkshire	–	–		–
West Lothian	–	–		–

Key



Children's social work services



Criminal justice social work services



Children's health services



Planned acute health services

Notes:

1. Criminal justice social work services can include services such as providing reports to courts to assist with decisions on sentencing. Planned acute health services can include services such as outpatient hospital services.
2. The range of children's health services delegated varies by IA. They may include universal services (such as GPs) for people aged under 18, or more specialised children's health services such as school nursing or health visiting, or both universal and specialised services.
3. IAs may also be responsible for additional integrated services not listed here.
4. East Dunbartonshire plan to amend their integration scheme to include children's primary and community health services before 1 April 2016.
5. Where integration schemes have not yet been approved by ministers, the final integration scheme may vary from the information included here.

- inpatient mental health services
- learning disability services
- child and adolescent mental health services
- psychology services
- community infant feeding service
- family nurse partnership
- child health administration team
- immunisation team.

IJBs are appointing voting board members and most have chief officers in post

45. Most IJBs are currently appointing board members. Our review of the 17 IJB integration schemes that Scottish ministers had approved at the time of our audit shows the following:

- Thirteen IJB boards will initially be chaired by a councillor, with the remaining four chaired by a non-executive from the local NHS board.
- Only three areas have chosen to nominate the minimum of three voting members each from the council and NHS board.¹⁷ In 13 schemes, councils and NHS boards have each nominated four voting members. In Edinburgh, the council and NHS board each have five voting members.
- There are also local variations in the number of additional non-voting members. For example, East Renfrewshire has appointed an additional GP member to help provide knowledge on local service needs. In most cases, these variations do not add significantly to the number of IJB board members. But some IJBs have very large boards. For example, Edinburgh has 13 non-voting members, in addition to its ten voting members. The IJB board for Clackmannanshire and Stirling is expected to be even larger, reflecting the joint arrangements between the two council areas, with 12 voting members and around 23 non-voting members.

46. Almost all IJBs have now appointed a chief officer.¹⁸ Edinburgh and Falkirk expect to have their chief officers in post by the end of 2015.¹⁹ Chief officers are employed by either the NHS board or the council and then seconded to the IJB. Terms and conditions of employment vary between councils and NHS boards, so successful candidates choose their preferred employer, based on the packages offered.

Chief officer accountability

47. Accountability arrangements for the IJB chief officer are complex and while there may be tensions in how these arrangements will work in practice, we have attempted to set out the technical arrangements as clearly as possible. The chief officer has a dual role. They are accountable to the IJB for the

responsibilities placed on the IJB under the Act and the integration scheme. They are accountable to the NHS board and council for any operational responsibility for integrated services, as set out in the integration scheme.

Accountability to the IJB

- The chief officer is directly accountable to the IJB for all of its responsibilities. These include: strategic planning, establishing the strategic planning group, the annual performance report, the IJB's responsibilities under other pieces of legislation (for example, the Equalities Act and the Public Records Act), ensuring that its directions are being carried out, recommending changes and reviewing the strategic plan.
- Integration schemes can pass responsibility for overseeing the operation of specific services from the NHS board or council to the IJB. In these circumstances, the chief officer is accountable to the IJB for establishing the arrangements to allow it to do this. This includes setting up performance monitoring, reporting structures, highlighting critical failures, reporting back based on internal and external audit and inspection. If the council or NHS board passes responsibility for meeting specific targets to the IJB, the IJB must take this into account during its strategic planning, and the chief officer is accountable for making sure it does so.

Accountability to the NHS board and council

- All integration schemes should set out whether the chief officer also has operational management responsibilities. Where the chief officer has these responsibilities, they are also accountable to the NHS board and the council.
- Where the chief officer has operational management responsibilities, the integration scheme makes the chief officer the responsible operational director in the council and NHS board for ensuring that integrated services are delivered. The chief officer is therefore responsible to the NHS board and council for the delivery of integrated services, how the strategic plan becomes operational and how it is delivered. They are also responsible for ensuring it is done in line with the relevant policies and procedures of the organisation (for example staff terms and conditions).
- Although this is untested, the accountable officers for delivery should still be the chief executives of the NHS board and the council. But they must discharge this accountability through the chief officer as set out in their integration scheme. The chief executives of the NHS board and council are responsible for line managing the chief officer to ensure that their accountability for the delivery of services is properly discharged.

48. Although employed by one organisation only, most chief officers are line managed by the chief executives of both the council and the NHS board. This means that in some NHS board areas the chief executive is line managing several IJB chief officers. South Lanarkshire has adopted a more streamlined approach, where the chief officer reports to both the council and NHS board chief executive, but the organisation that employs the chief officer performs day-to-day line management.

Part 3

Current issues



There is wide support for the opportunities offered by health and social care integration


49. Integrated health and social care offers significant opportunities. These include improving the services that communities receive, the impact these services have on people, improving outcomes and using resources, such as money and skills, more effectively across the health and care system. The Scottish Government expects integrated services to emphasise preventative care and reduce both the level of hospital admissions and the time that some patients spend in hospital. A measure of success will be the extent to which integration has helped to move to a more sustainable health and social care service, with less reliance on emergency care.

50. Because integrated services with a focus on improving outcomes should result in more effective use of resources across the health and social care system, the Scottish Government expects integration to generate estimated annual savings of £138 - £157 million. The savings are as follows:

- Annual savings of £22 million if IAs can meet the current target to limit the delay in discharging patients to no more than two weeks and £41 million if they can reduce this further, to no more than 72 hours.
- Annual savings of £12 million by using anticipatory care plans for people with conditions that put them at risk of an unplanned admission to hospital. These plans provide alternative forms of care to try to avoid people being admitted to hospital.
- Annual savings of £104 million from reducing the variation between different IAs in the same NHS board area. The Scottish Government expects that IAs will identify the inefficiencies that cause costs to vary and, over time, reduce them.²⁰

51. The Scottish Government estimated the initial cost of making these reforms to adult services to be £34.2 million over the five years up to 2016/17, and £6.3 million after this. It has not estimated the additional costs, or savings, from integrating other services such as children's health and social care or some criminal justice services.²¹ It is unclear whether these anticipated savings will release money that IJBs can invest in more community-based and preventative care or how the Scottish Government will monitor and report progress towards these savings.

widespread support for the policy of health and social care integration, but concerns about how this will work in practice

52. There have been previous attempts at integration, as listed in [Exhibit 2 \(page 11\)](#). Our [Review of Community Health Partnerships \[PDF\]](#)  highlighted that CHPs had a challenging remit, but lacked the authority needed to implement the significant changes required.²² We also found limited progress with joint budgets across health and care services. This latest reform programme contains important new elements to help partnerships improve care. The Act:

- provides a statutory requirement for councils and NHS boards to integrate services and budgets, in contrast to previous legislation that encouraged joint working with resources largely remaining separate
- provides, for the first time, a statutory requirement to focus on outcome measures, rather than activity measures
- introduces a requirement for co-production as part of strategic planning. Co-production is when professionals and people who need support combine their knowledge and expertise to make joint decisions
- has clear links to other significant legislation, including The Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014 and the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015, where similar principles of co-production, engagement and empowerment apply.

53. Throughout our audit, we found there is widespread support for the policy of health and social care integration, but concerns about how this will work in practice. In this part of our report, we summarise the most important risks and issues we have identified through our audit. These are significant and need to be addressed as a priority nationally and locally to integrate health and care services successfully.

NHS boards, councils and IJBs need to be clear about how local arrangements will work in practice

Sound governance arrangements need to be quickly established

54. Good governance is vital to ensure that public bodies perform effectively. This can be a particular challenge in partnerships, with board members drawn from a wide range of backgrounds. Previous audit reports on community planning partnerships (CPPs) and CHPs have highlighted the importance of issues such as:

- a shared leadership, which takes account of different organisational cultures
- a clear vision of what the partnership wants to achieve, with a focus on outcomes for service users
- a shared understanding of roles and responsibilities, with a focus on decision-making
- an effective system for scrutinising performance and holding partners to account.

Members of IJBs need to understand and respect differences in organisational cultures and backgrounds

55. IJBs include representatives from councils, NHS boards, GPs, the voluntary sector, and service users. Everyone involved in establishing the new arrangements needs to understand, respect and take account of differences in organisational cultures so these do not become a barrier to progress. Members of the IJB need quickly to establish a shared understanding of their new role, how they will work together and measure success.

56. Voting members are drawn exclusively from councils and NHS boards and it is particularly important that they have a shared vision and purpose. There are important differences in how councils and NHS boards operate. Councils, for example, are accountable to their local electorate, while NHS boards report to Scottish ministers. There are also differences in how councils and the NHS work with the private sector. Councils have had many years of contracting services out to the voluntary and private sectors; for example, around 25 per cent of home care staff are employed in the private sector.

57. IJBs are aware of the need to establish a common understanding of the roles and responsibilities of board members. We found that many are planning opportunities for board development by providing training and support to board members. Other IJBs are also reinforcing this by developing codes of conduct to ensure that their board members follow the same standards of behaviour.

58. IJBs include representatives from a wide range of organisations and backgrounds. This inclusive approach has benefits, including a more open and inclusive approach to decision making for health and care services, but there is a risk that boards are too large. For example, the Edinburgh IJB will have 23 members and the Clackmannanshire & Stirling IJB will have around 35. As we have highlighted in previous audits of partnerships across Scotland, there is a risk that large boards will find it difficult to reach agreement, make decisions and ensure services improve.

IJB members will have to manage conflicts of interest

59. The design of IJBs brings the potential for real or perceived conflicts of interest for board members. The NHS board and council nominate all voting members of the IJB. Their role is to represent the IJB's interests. Voting members will also continue in their role as an NHS board member or councillor. As a result, there is a risk that they may have a conflict of interest, particularly where there is a disagreement as part of IJB business.²³

60. There is a similar potential for a conflict of interest for senior managers. IJB finance officers, for example, are required to support the needs of the IJB, but may also have responsibilities to support their employer – either the local NHS board or council. Similarly, legal advisers to the IJB will be employed by the council or the NHS board and, at a time of disagreement, may have a conflict of interest.

61. There is also a particular issue for NHS board members. Some NHS boards have to deal with several IJBs, and this places significant demands on their limited number of non-executive members. As a result, the Act and its associated regulations allow for NHS executive members to be appointed as voting members of the IJB. This means that there is the possibility of individuals acting as IJB board members who commission a service, and as NHS board members, responsible for providing that service. IJBs need to resolve this tension as part of their local governance arrangements.

62. IJBs are taking action to manage these tensions. For example, they are providing training to alert board members to the need to act in the IJB's interests when taking part in IJB meetings, and declaring conflicts of interest when they arise. But underlying conflicts of interest are likely to remain a risk, particularly at times of disagreement between local partners.

Although IJBs will lead the planning of integrated services, they are not independent of councils and NHS boards

63. IJBs set out how they will deliver services in their strategic plans, which they develop through strategic planning groups. The legislation allows NHS boards and councils jointly to ask IJBs to change their strategic plans only if they think it hinders their work in achieving the national health and wellbeing outcomes. As such, NHS boards and councils cannot individually veto an IJB decision. However IJBs are not fully independent of NHS boards and councils which can influence them through the following:

- **Membership of IJBs:** Chairs, vice chairs and voting members are all nominated by NHS boards and councils.
- **The approval process to agree future budgets:** Guidance issued by the Scottish Government's Integrated Resources Advisory Group (IRAG) suggests that, for future years, each IJB develops a business case and budget request and submits this to the NHS board and council to consider.
- **Control of integration schemes:** NHS boards and councils can decide to resubmit their integration schemes, changing the terms under which the IJB operates, or replacing it with a lead agency approach.

64. IJBs may overcome the challenges of working with a large board, with different organisational cultures and tensions, but once difficult decisions have been made there are still complex relationships back to the NHS board and council to negotiate. As a result, it is not clear if IJBs will be able to exert the necessary independence and authority to change fundamentally the way local services are provided.

Only a few IJBs will oversee the operation of acute services in their area, potentially limiting their impact

65. Regulations allow NHS boards and councils to choose what role IJBs will have in relation to operational management of services, in addition to commissioning and planning services. This flexibility allows, for example, NHS boards to remain solely responsible overseeing the operation of large hospital sites. The alternative is a more complex arrangement where responsibility for overseeing the operation of an A&E department is shared across several IJBs. Where the IJB has no operational management of hospital services, the IJB will receive regular performance reports from the NHS board on hospital services, so the IJB can assess whether the NHS board is delivering services in line with the IJB strategic plan. From the 17 schemes we reviewed that establish IJBs, we found the following:

- All 17 IJBs oversee the operation of non-acute integrated services, such as district nursing.
- To date, only Argyll and Bute, and Dumfries and Galloway IJBs will oversee the operation of the acute hospital integrated services in their areas, and

the chief officer will operationally manage these services. In Argyll and Bute, this continues an arrangement that existed previously and arises because the NHS board contracts most acute services from NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. Argyll and Bute CHP received information from the NHS board as part of the contract monitoring process. The IJB and NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde are in the process of agreeing the information the chief officer and IJB board members will receive on the operational performance and delivery of these services.

- In Dumfries and Galloway, the IJB will oversee the operation of all integrated services, including all acute hospital services. The chief officer will be responsible for managing the operation of these integrated services, receiving regular information from the council Chief Social Work Officer and the NHS board acute services management team. The geographical circumstances in Dumfries and Galloway help to make this arrangement possible, as there is only one IA in the NHS board area, with only one acute hospital.

There needs to be a clear understanding of who is accountable for service delivery

66. There is a risk that the complex interrelationship between IJBs and councils and NHS boards will get in the way of clear lines of accountability. Their respective roles appear to be clear: IJBs are responsible for planning and commissioning services; councils and NHS boards are responsible for delivering those services.

67. But this understanding of accountabilities could be tested when there is a service failure, either in the care of an individual or in meeting outcome targets. The consensus amongst those we spoke with during our audit is that responsibility would lie with the council or NHS board delivering the service. But it could also be argued that ultimate responsibility might lie with IJBs, which plan and direct councils and NHS boards in how services are to be delivered. All parties need to recognise this risk and set out clearly an agreed understanding of each other's roles and responsibilities. It is essential that the chief officer is clear about how this joint accountability will work in practice from the start.

68. Clear procedures also need to be in place for clinical and care governance. These are procedures for maintaining and improving the quality of services and safeguarding high standards of care. NHS boards use long-established clinical governance approaches within the NHS. Similarly, councils follow well-established approaches for social care. IJBs have a great deal of flexibility over this issue and are required only to consider what role they will have in supporting the councils' and NHS boards' clinical and care governance work and how integration might change some aspects of this.

69. The Act introduced a requirement that IJBs set out in their integration scheme how they will work with NHS boards and councils to develop an integrated approach to clinical and care governance. We found that, at present, most IJBs plan to retain existing arrangements, with NHS boards directly overseeing clinical governance and councils overseeing care governance. However, IJBs will need to have a role in monitoring clinical and care standards without duplicating existing arrangements. Perth and Kinross IJB has developed a new clinical and care governance framework that other IJBs are now considering. In addition, the Royal College of Nursing has developed an approach that helps IJBs, councils and NHS

boards review their clinical and care governance arrangements. The aim is to ensure consistent approaches within each integrated service, and that these are aligned to existing clinical and care governance arrangements in the NHS and councils.²⁴

IAs need to establish effective scrutiny arrangements to help them manage performance

70. IAs need to establish effective arrangements for scrutinising performance, monitoring progress towards their strategic objectives, and holding partners to account. Using the nine statutory outcome measures, listed at [Exhibit 6](#), will help IAs to focus on the impact of health and care services. But as well as simply monitoring performance, IJB members will need to use these to help redesign services and ensure services become more effective.

71. There is also a need for regular reporting to partner organisations. This is particularly important where most members of the local council or NHS board are not directly involved in the IJB's work. Aberdeenshire Council, for example, has 68 councillors, with only five sitting on the IJB. Those not directly involved need to be kept informed on how the budgets provided to the IJB have been used and their effectiveness in improving outcomes for local people.

Councils and NHS boards are finding it difficult to agree budgets for the new integration authorities

72. At this stage, IAs are establishing financial procedures that look to be sound. While there is a range of approaches to financial monitoring and dealing with overspends and underspends, the processes outlined in the integration schemes are reasonable.

73. There are, however, significant concerns about funding. Councils and NHS boards are having great difficulty in agreeing budgets for the new IAs. At October 2015, six months before they were required to be established and commissioning health and care services, the Scottish Government had only been informed of the agreed budgets for six IAs. This uncertainty about budgets is likely to continue until early 2016. The results of the UK spending review were not announced until November 2015, and the Scottish Government will only publish its financial plans on 16 December 2015.

74. NHS boards and councils have faced several years of financial constraints and this is expected to continue in the coming years. There is a risk that, if NHS boards and councils seek to protect services that remain fully under their control, IAs may face a disproportionate reduction in their funding, despite the focus on outcomes that all partners should have. We have reported previously on increasing pressures on health and care budgets. This risk of budget overspends is a significant risk for IJBs. Other specific factors add to these difficulties in agreeing budgets:

- **Set-aside budgets:** These relate to the budgets retained by NHS boards for larger hospital sites that provide both integrated and non-integrated services. There are difficulties in agreeing these set-aside budgets, despite the Scottish Government issuing specific guidance. The current difficulties relate to how to determine the integrated and non-integrated costs for these hospitals and how to allocate a fair share to each IJB within the NHS board area. More fundamentally, however, there is a risk that NHS

boards may regard this funding as continuing to be under their control, making it difficult for IAs to use the money to shift from acute hospital care to community-based and preventative services. As a result of these uncertainties, not all of the strategic plans published so far consider the set-aside budgets or plan for the level of acute services that will be needed in future years.

- **Different planning cycles:** NHS boards and councils agree budgets at different times. In North Ayrshire, for example, the council agreed its 2015/16 budget in December 2014, while the NHS agreed its budget in March 2015. NHS budgets and allocations can change during the financial year. This could bring further challenges for IJBs. Similar budget-setting cycles exist across Scotland. If councils and NHS boards continue with these cycles, then IJBs will be involved in protracted negotiations for budgets and ultimately cannot expect partners to approve their plans until just before the start of each financial year. In response, NHS Forth Valley has adapted its budgeting process to allow it to provide an earlier indication of the integrated health budget to its local IAs. In addition, as part of the community planning process, there is an expectation that community planning partners will share information on resource planning and budgets at an early stage, before formal agreement.²⁵ This should help IAs' financial planning.

Integration authorities need to make urgent progress in setting out clear strategic plans

Most IAs are still developing their overall strategic plans, but those that are in place tend to be aspirational and lack important detail

75. Strategic planning is central to the role that IAs will have in commissioning and helping redesign local health and care services. Scottish Government guidance emphasises the importance of localities in this process, and of strategic plans to reflect the different priorities and needs of local areas.

76. At the time of our audit, only six IAs had published their strategic plans. Some, such as Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire and Moray, have developed draft plans in advance of the formal approval of the integration schemes. Difficulties with reaching agreement on budgets are an important factor hindering IAs from developing comprehensive strategic plans. This raises concerns about the readiness of IAs to make an immediate impact in reshaping local services. Our audit involved speaking to people involved with strategic planning, including IJB board members. Many of them felt it would be at least another year before most IAs have established plans that are genuinely strategic and can redesign future service delivery rather than simply reflect existing arrangements.

77. Even where strategic plans are in place, there tend to be weaknesses in their scope and quality. They often set out the broad direction of how to provide integrated health and social care services in their areas over the next three or so years, identifying local priorities for their area and for localities. But they can be unclear about what money and staff are available, particularly over the longer term, or how to match these to priorities. They lack detail on what level of acute services is needed in an area and how they will shift resources towards preventative and community-based care. They generally lack performance measures that directly relate to the national outcomes.

78. Strategic planning is even less developed at the locality level. There is a risk that strategic planning is not joined up with locality planning. Some IAs have completed strategic needs assessments, helping to identify the different needs and priorities of individual localities. They are using these to develop local priorities and budgets. There are also significant challenges in involving a wide range of service users, voluntary organisations, GPs and other clinicians and other professional staff in the planning process. These groups are represented at IJB board level, as non-voting members. But involving these groups more widely and actively at locality level is crucial to providing community-based and preventative health and social care services.

Most IAs have still to produce supporting strategies

79. In addition to their overall strategic plans, IAs need to establish supporting strategies for important areas such as workforce, risk management, data sharing, and how they will work with people who use health and social care services. They are required to set out a broad timetable for producing these in their integration schemes.

80. We analysed the timetables in the approved integration schemes available at the time of our audit. This reveals some significant variations ([Exhibit 9, page 33](#)). Some risk management and workforce strategies have been developed and are scheduled to be agreed well in advance of the IA becoming operational. In others, however, it will be up to 12 months after the IA becomes operational before these strategies are due to be agreed and can start to contribute to progress with integrating services.

81. This raises questions about the effectiveness of some IAs, at least in the first year of their operation. It is important that IA strategies are well thought through, built on an analysis of local needs and resources and meaningful consultation, clearly setting out how the IA will deliver against the aspirations of the Act. We did not look in detail at the strategies produced at this early stage. But there is a risk that strategies produced quickly lack the detail needed to show how IAs will take practical steps that:

- improve outcomes
- integrate services
- make best use of the funds, skills and other resources available to them.


Equally, there are risks where the IA will not have plans in place until they have been operational for many months. It is important that IAs have clear strategic priorities and use these in developing:

- a workforce strategy, showing how they will redesign health and care services
- a risk management strategy to demonstrate that they are properly prioritising their work and their resources.

Exhibit 9

Range of timescales for supporting strategies

It will be up to a year before some IJBs have established workforce and risk management strategies.



Source: Audit Scotland analysis of available integration schemes

There is a pressing need for workforce planning to show how an integrated workforce will be developed

82. The health and social care workforce is critical to the success of integration. Health and social care services are personal services; it is important that staff have the skills and resources they need to carry out their roles, including providing emotional and physical support and clinical care.

83. At present, few IAs have developed a long-term workforce strategy. Developing a suitably skilled workforce is crucial to the success of integrated health and social care services. This is particularly challenging, given the wide range of people involved and the size of the workforce. NHS Scotland employs around 160,000 staff.²⁶ Social services employ almost 200,000, both directly employed council staff and others from the private and voluntary sector.²⁷ Furthermore, an estimated 759,000 people in Scotland are carers for family members, friends or neighbours.²⁸ IJBs need to work closely with professional and regulatory bodies in developing their workforce plans.

84. IJBs do not directly employ staff, but they are responsible for coordinating services from this varied mix of staff and carers. There will be implications for the skills and experience that staff will need to deliver more community-based support as services change. Developing and implementing workforce strategies to meet these needs will be challenging.

85. The following will add to these difficulties:

- **Financial pressures on the NHS and councils.** NHS boards and councils continue to face pressures from tightening budgets and rising demand for services. Most councils have responded to these pressures in part by reducing staff numbers and outsourcing some services to the private and voluntary sectors. These changes are less evident in the health sector. As a result, there are concerns that any future changes to the workforce will not affect health and care staff equally.
- **Difficulties in recruiting and retaining social care staff.** Over many years, councils have had difficulties recruiting and retaining care home and home care staff. Organisations in areas such as Edinburgh and Aberdeen, with high living costs, have had particular difficulties. There is a need to develop a valued, stable, skilled and motivated workforce. We found examples of organisations developing new approaches to making careers in caring more attractive. For example in Dumfries and Galloway and Aberdeen City they are considering creating caring roles that are part of a defined career path, to encourage more people into these roles.
- **The role of the voluntary and private sectors.** Voluntary and private organisations play an important role in providing care and support, but there are particular challenges in how IJBs can involve these diverse organisations as part of a coordinated workforce plan. The introduction of the national living wage will have a significant impact on the voluntary sector and their ability to provide the same level of support for health and care services. We will comment on this further in our audit of Social Work in Scotland.

86. GPs have a particularly important role but there are concerns over GPs having time available to contribute actively towards the success of integrated services. Most GPs are independent contractors, not employed by the NHS. GPs have a crucial role in patient referrals and in liaising with other health and care services. Ultimately, if there are concerns about the quality or availability of community-based services, there is a risk that GPs will refer patients to hospital to ensure they receive the care they need.

87. Throughout Scotland, there are difficulties in recruiting and retaining GPs. As a result, GPs are facing increasing pressures, at a time when a planned shift to community care and support can be expected to increase their workload. The Scottish Government has recognised this issue and has announced £2.5 million to fund a three-year programme to improve recruitment and retention of GPs and improve the number of people training to be GPs. It also has plans to revise GP contracts, to allow GPs to delegate some services to other healthcare professionals, freeing up GPs' time. However, it will be many years before these measures will have a significant impact.

The proposed performance measurement systems will not provide information on some important areas or help identify good practice

88. There is wide support for the Scottish Government's focus on health and wellbeing outcomes (set out earlier at [Exhibit 6](#)). In addition to the nine national outcomes, the Scottish Government developed core integration indicators to measure progress in delivering the national health and wellbeing outcomes and to allow national comparison between partnerships. These 23 measures, listed in [Appendix 2](#), cover a mixture of outcome indicators – based on people's perception of the service they received – and indicators based on system or organisational information, such as people admitted to hospital in an emergency or adults with intensive care needs receiving care at home.

89. The Scottish Government has provided further support through the Information Services Division (ISD) of NHS National Services Scotland. It provided access to local data and technical support to help partnerships understand and plan for their areas' health and social care needs. The ISD data brings together health, social care and demographic information for the first time and is a significant step forward in providing partnerships with the information they need to plan locally and to measure the impact of their activity. Much of the data is already available for partnerships to use, and ISD plans to develop the data further including analysing the cost of end-of-life care.

90. Some IAs have been unable to make use of this resource as data-sharing agreements are not yet in place. ISD has access to health data but requires permission from councils to access the social work data they hold for their areas. Before councils can grant access they need to ensure they are not breaching data protection legislation and are doing this by agreeing data-sharing procedures. Most councils and NHS boards are making progress with this, but where information sharing has not been agreed IAs are having to plan without it.

91. National care standards were created in 2002 to help people understand what to expect from care services and to help services understand the standard of care they should deliver. Given the way that services have changed since then, in June 2014, the Scottish Government issued a consultation on new national care standards. The consultation proposed developing overarching standards, based on human rights, setting out the core elements of quality that should apply across all health and social care services.

92. The standards are an important part of integrating and scrutinising health and care services and it is important that they are in place quickly and publicised widely. However, overarching principles will not be finalised until April 2016; this will be followed by a consultation on specific and generic standards, with a view to them being implemented from April 2017.

93. While all these developments are clearly a step in the right direction, all partners need to consider the following issues:

- **The core integration indicators do not fully take account of all the expected benefits of the reform programme.** Overall, the Scottish Government's reform programme is expected to shift the balance of care to community-based or preventative services. However, demographic pressure will create increased demand for both hospital and community-

based services. It is not clear how the proposed indicators will measure progress in transferring from hospital to community care. There may be central data that the Scottish Government can use to track some of these changes but these should be set out clearly as part of measures to publicly monitor and report on progress. It is also unclear how the Scottish Government will track expected savings. An example is the expected annual savings of £104 million from reducing some of the variation evident in the cost of providing health and social care services across different parts of Scotland.²⁹ The core set of integration indicators does not attempt to give a national measure of reductions in cost variation or the savings that arise from this. Anticipatory care plans are projected to yield savings of £12 million a year, but there are no proposed indicators to assess if IAs are using them, or what impact they have on releasing resources such as skills and equipment.³⁰ This means the Scottish Government will not know if integration has freed up resources for other uses, in line with its expectations, or if it has achieved a shift from institutional to community-based care.

- **The process of linking measures and outcomes is incomplete and it may be difficult to measure success.** This means that the Scottish Government will be unable to see what progress is being made nationally, or to compare the different approaches adopted by IAs to identify which are most effective. For example, one of the measures seen as indicating success is ‘reducing the rate of emergency admission to hospitals for adults’. (A reduction in this is seen as evidence of a positive impact on outcomes 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7, as listed at [Exhibit 6](#).) But hospital emergency admission rates can reduce for many reasons. At present, it is up to individual partnerships to decide which additional local measures they will adopt to explore why hospital emergency admission rates are changing.

Councils and NHS boards are required to set out in their strategic plans which local measures they will use. We compared plans for North Lanarkshire and North Ayrshire IAs, both relatively advanced in their performance management arrangements at the time of our audit. We found the following:

- They will use different measures from each other. This has the benefit of allowing IAs to focus on their local priorities. However, it will make it difficult for the Scottish Government to compare performance across IAs to identify what approaches are working best ([Exhibit 10, page 37](#)).
- In various places, both IAs have associated a different mix of indicators to an outcome from that set out in Scottish Government guidance. This occurs more frequently in North Ayrshire which developed its plans before the Scottish Government published its approach. But North Lanarkshire also has taken a different view on which indicators it will use to measure progress on some of the national outcomes, making it difficult for the Scottish Government to measure progress at a national level.
- We have provided a more detailed comparison of the approaches used by North Lanarkshire and North Ayrshire IAs in a [supplement](#) to assist other IJBs when developing their plans ([Exhibit 10, page 37](#)).


Exhibit 10

Integration authorities can use different information to measure progress towards national outcomes

National Outcome	Core integration indicator		Number of additional local indicators mapped to national outcome	
	Mapped to national outcome by both	Not mapped to national outcome by both	North Ayrshire	North Lanarkshire
People are able to look after and improve their own health and wellbeing and live in good health for longer	Percentage of people who say they are able to look after their health very well or quite well	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Premature mortality rate 	5	19
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emergency admission rate 		
People who work in health and social care services feel engaged with the work they do and are supported to continuously improve the information, support, care and treatment they provide	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of staff who say they would recommend their workplace as a good place to work 	8	8
Resources are used effectively and efficiently in the provision of health and social care services	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of adults supported at home who agree that their health and care services seemed to be well coordinated 	10	31
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Readmission to hospital within 28 days 		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proportion of last six months spent at home or in community setting 		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Falls rate per 1,000 population aged 65+ 		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of days people spend in hospital when clinically ready to be discharged per 1,000 population 		

 NL = North Lanarkshire map this to outcome

 NA = North Ayrshire map this to outcome

 AL = Neither map this to outcome

- **It is important that there is a balance between targeted local measures and national reporting on impact.** This has the benefit of providing flexibility so that local partnerships can focus their efforts on priority areas. It is important that local partnerships set ambitious targets. The reforms bring the opportunity to have local outcome measures that local people recognise as responding to specific issues in their community. However, the Scottish Government and IAs need to resolve tensions between introducing better local measures and the need for clarity at national level about the impact that IAs are having. An increasing focus on local measures means it is timely to review whether existing national measures are fit for purpose.

The role of localities still needs to be fully developed

94. Localities are intended to be the key drivers of change, bringing together service users, carers, and health and care professionals to help redesign services. The Act requires IAs to establish at least two localities within their area. Scottish Government guidance, issued in July 2015, suggests that localities should be formed around natural clusters of GP practices. Naturally, the number and size of localities vary. Edinburgh, for example, has established four localities, with an average population of around 120,000. By contrast, Shetland has seven localities, each with an average population of around 4,000. Under the Act, localities need to be involved in both planning services and play a consultative role about service change in their local area. This raises an issue about the scale and size of localities – the optimal scale for locally planning services may not be the same as that for consulting on service change.

95. With IAs still focusing on their overall budgets and governance arrangements, the arrangements for localities are relatively underdeveloped. Some have now agreed priorities and budgets for individual localities, but in most cases, work at locality level has initially focused on networking with stakeholders and on needs assessments. Localities are key to the success of integration, therefore IJBs must focus on how localities will lead the integration of health and care.

96. We found that GPs are becoming involved in locality planning. But, in many areas, there are concerns about their ability to remain fully involved in locality planning. Some GPs are also sceptical, given earlier experiences with LHCCs and CHPs, which failed to provide a fundamental shift towards preventative and community-based services. In response, the Scottish Government is piloting a new approach in ten health centres across the country. These centres will form 'community care teams' and test different ways of delivering healthcare. It is important that there is a clear link between the work of these teams and locality planning arrangements to avoid confusion.

There will be a continuing need to share good practice and to assess the impact of integration

97. The 31 IAs are putting different arrangements in place to deliver integrated health and social care services. This high level of variation is permitted by the Act and, in allowing IAs to respond to their local context and priorities, has many advantages. However, at some point, the Scottish Government and individual IAs will need to review their initial arrangements and consider how these might evolve to reflect good practice in other parts of Scotland. We hope that this report, and our subsequent audits, will contribute towards this wider review.

Part 4

Recommendations



We have made recommendations to help organisations address potential risks to the success of health and social care integration. We will monitor progress as part of our future work on integration.

The Scottish Government should:

- work with IAs to help them develop performance monitoring to ensure that they can clearly demonstrate the impact they make as they develop integrated services. As part of this:
 - work with IAs to resolve tensions between the need for national and local reporting on outcomes so that it is clear what impact the new integration arrangements are having on outcomes and on the wider health and social care system
- monitor and publicly report on national progress on the impact of integration. This includes:
 - measuring progress in moving care from institutional to community settings, reducing local variation in costs and using anticipatory care plans
 - reporting on how resources are being used to improve outcomes and how this has changed over time
 - reporting on expected costs and savings resulting from integration
- continue to provide support to IAs as they become fully operational, including leadership development and sharing good practice, including sharing the lessons learned from the pilots of GP clusters.

Integration authorities should:

- provide clear and strategic leadership to take forward the integration agenda; this includes:
 - developing and communicating the purpose and vision of the IJB and its intended impact on local people
 - having high standards of conduct and effective governance, and establishing a culture of openness, support and respect
- set out clearly how governance arrangements will work in practice, particularly when disagreements arise, to minimise the risk of confusing lines of accountability, potential conflicts of interests and any lack of clarity about who is ultimately responsible for the quality of care and scrutiny.

This includes:

- setting out a clear statement of the respective roles and responsibilities of the IJB (including individual members), NHS board and council, and the IJB's approach towards putting this into practice
- ensuring that IJB members receive training and development to prepare them for their role, including managing conflicts of interest, understanding the organisational cultures of the NHS and councils and the roles of non-voting members of the IJB
- ensure that a constructive working relationship exists between IJB members and the chief officer and finance officer and the public.

This includes:

- setting out a schedule of matters reserved for collective decision-making by the IJB, taking account of relevant legislation and ensuring that this is monitored and updated when required.
- ensuring relationships between the IJB, its partners and the public are clear so each knows what to expect of the other
- be rigorous and transparent about how decisions are taken and listening and acting on the outcome of constructive scrutiny, including:
 - developing and maintaining open and effective mechanisms for documenting evidence for decisions
 - putting in place arrangements to safeguard members and employees against conflict of interest and put in place processes to ensure that they continue to operate in practice
 - developing and maintaining an effective audit committee
 - ensuring that effective, transparent and accessible arrangements are in place for dealing with complaints
 - ensuring that an effective risk management system is in place
- develop strategic plans that do more than set out the local context for the reforms; this includes:
 - how the IJB will contribute to delivering high-quality care in different ways that better meet people's needs and improves outcomes
 - setting out clearly what resources are required, what impact the IJB wants to achieve, and how the IA will monitor and publicly report their progress
 - developing strategies covering the workforce, risk management, engagement with service users and data sharing, based on overall strategic priorities to allow the IA to operate successfully in line with the principles set out in the Act and ensure these strategies fit with those in the NHS and councils
 - making clear links between the work of the IA and the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act and Children and Young People (Scotland) Act







- develop financial plans that clearly show how IAs will use resources such as money and staff to provide more community-based and preventative services. This includes:
 - developing financial plans for each locality, showing how resources will be matched to local priorities
 - ensuring that the IJB makes the best use of resources, agreeing how Best Value will be measured and making sure that the IJB has the information needed to review value for money and performance effectively
- shift resources, including the workforce, towards a more preventative and community-based approach; it is important that the IA also has plans that set out how, in practical terms, they will achieve this shift over time.

Integration authorities should work with councils and NHS boards to:

- recognise and address the practical risks associated with the complex accountability arrangements by developing protocols to ensure that the chair of the IJB, the chief officer and the chief executives of the NHS board and council negotiate their roles in relation to the IJB early on in the relationship and that a shared understanding of the roles and objectives is maintained
- review clinical and care governance arrangements to ensure a consistent approach for each integrated service and that they are aligned to existing clinical and care governance arrangements in the NHS and councils
- urgently agree budgets for the IA; this is important both for their first year and for the next few years to provide IAs with the continuity and certainty they need to develop strategic plans; this includes aligning budget-setting arrangements between partners
- establish effective scrutiny arrangements to ensure that councillors and NHS non-executives, who are not members of the IJB board, are kept fully informed of the impact of integration for people who use local health and care services
- put in place data-sharing agreements to allow them to access the new data provided by ISD Scotland.

Endnotes



- ◀ 1 This included reviewing 18 approved integration schemes, 17 of which were for integration joint boards following the body corporate model and one of which was for Highland's lead agency model.
- ◀ 2 Clackmannanshire and Stirling, Dumfries and Galloway, East Renfrewshire, Edinburgh City, North Ayrshire and North Lanarkshire.
- ◀ 3 [Review of Community Health Partnerships \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, June 2011.
- ◀ 4 [Reshaping care for older people \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, February 2014.
- ◀ 5 *Maximising Recovery, Promoting Independence: An Intermediate Care Framework for Scotland*, Scottish Government, 2012.
- ◀ 6 *Scotland Performs*, Scottish Government, 2015.
- ◀ 7 *Projected Population of Scotland (2014-based)*, National Records Scotland, 2015.
- ◀ 8 *Finance Committee. 2nd Report, 2013 (Session 4): Demographic change and an ageing population*. Scottish Parliament, 11 February 2013.
- ◀ 9 *Bed days occupied by delayed discharge patients*, ISD Scotland, May 2015.
- ◀ 10 [Review of Community Health Partnerships \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, 2011.
- ◀ 11 After approval of its integration scheme, an IJB is established by parliamentary order. An IJB is operational when it has delegated responsibility from the NHS board and council for integrated budgets and services.
- ◀ 12 The lead agency is between Highland Council and NHS Highland. NHS Highland also has an IJB with Argyll and Bute Council.
- ◀ 13 Where the IJB spans across more than one council area, the minimum number of voting members is different. For IJBs of two council areas, at least two councillors from each council are required. For IJBs of more than two areas at least one councillor from each council is required. In both cases, the NHS board must nominate board members equal to the total number of councillors.
- ◀ 14 As IJBs have no plans to directly employ staff in this early stage of development, we are not commenting on related potential risks and issues. We are likely to return to this issue in more detail in future reports on integration.
- ◀ 15 [Self-directed support \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, June 2014
- ◀ 16 The Joint Improvement Team is a partnership between the Scottish Government, NHSScotland, COSLA (Convention of Scottish Local Authorities) and the voluntary, independent and housing sectors.
- ◀ 17 East Dunbartonshire, Shetland and West Dunbartonshire.
- ◀ 18 Some areas, have a chief officer designate. This happens where, although recruitment for a chief officer is complete, until the IJB is established it cannot formally appoint the chief officer.
- ◀ 19 Falkirk currently has an interim chief officer in post and expects to make a permanent appointment to this role by the end of the year.
- ◀ 20 Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Bill, Financial Memorandum, 2013.
- ◀ 21 Ibid.
- ◀ 22 [Review of Community Health Partnerships \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, June 2011.
- ◀ 23 We explore these tensions more fully in our report [Arm's-length external organisations \(ALEOs\): are you getting it right? \[PDF\]](#) , Audit Scotland, June 2011.
- ◀ 24 *RCN briefing 2: Clinical and care governance in an integrated world*, May 2015, Royal College of Nursing.
- ◀ 25 *Agreement on joint working on community planning and resourcing*, Scottish Government and COSLA, September 2013.

- ◀ 26 *NHS Scotland Workforce Information Quarterly update of Staff in Post, Vacancies and Turnover at 30 June 2015*, ISD Scotland, 2015. This figure refers to all staff in NHS Scotland, not just those working in integrated services.
- ◀ 27 *Scottish Social Service Sector: Report on 2014 Workforce Data*, Scottish Social Services Council, 2015.
- ◀ 28 *Scotland's Carers*, Scottish Government, March 2015.
- ◀ 29 Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Bill, Financial Memorandum, 2013.
- ◀ 30 Ibid.

Appendix 1

Audit methodology



We reviewed a range of documents during our audit. Where available, this included:

- the Act and national guidance and regulations on implementing the Act
- 18 approved integration schemes¹
- strategic and related financial plans
- minutes, papers and agendas for IJB meetings
- internal audit reports and local reports on integration arrangements
- financial audit information
- joint inspection reports from the Care Inspectorate and Healthcare Improvement Scotland.

We interviewed stakeholders in the following IA areas:

- Clackmannanshire and Stirling
- Dumfries and Galloway
- East Renfrewshire
- Edinburgh City
- North Ayrshire
- North Lanarkshire.

We drew on the work already carried out by:

- the Care Inspectorate
- Healthcare Improvement Scotland
- local auditors.

We also interviewed staff from:

- the Scottish Government
- the Joint Improvement Team
- the British Medical Association
- the Convention of Scottish Local Authorities
- NHS Information Services Division
- the Care Inspectorate
- Healthcare Improvement Scotland
- the voluntary sector.

Note: 1. We reviewed 17 integrations schemes establishing IJBs for Argyll & Bute, East Ayrshire, East Dunbartonshire, East Lothian, East Renfrewshire, City of Edinburgh, Eilean Siar, Inverclyde, Midlothian, North Ayrshire, North Lanarkshire, Renfrewshire, Shetland Isles, South Ayrshire, South Lanarkshire, West Dunbartonshire and West Lothian, and Highland's integration scheme setting out its lead agency approach.

Appendix 2

Scottish Government core integration indicators



Outcome indicators, based on survey feedback, available every two years, include:

- Percentage of adults able to look after their health very well or quite well.
- Percentage of adults supported at home who agree that they are supported to live as independently as possible.
- Percentage of adults supported at home who agree that they had a say in how their help, care or support was provided.
- Percentage of adults supported at home who agree that their health and care services seemed to be well coordinated.
- Percentage of adults receiving any care or support who rate it as excellent or good.
- Percentage of people with positive experience of care at their GP practice.
- Percentage of adults supported at home who agree that their services and support had an impact in improving or maintaining their quality of life.
- Percentage of carers who feel supported to continue in their caring role.
- Percentage of adults supported at home who agree they felt safe.
- Percentage of staff who say they would recommend their workplace as a good place to work.*

Outcome indicators derived from organisational/system data, primarily collected for other reasons, available annually or more often, include:

- Premature mortality rate.
- Rate of emergency admissions for adults.*
- Rate of emergency bed days for adults.*
- Readmissions to hospital within 28 days of discharge.*
- Proportion of last six months of life spent at home or in community setting.
- Falls rate per 1,000 population in over 65s.*
- Proportion of care services graded 'good' or better in Care Inspectorate Inspections.
- Percentage of adults with intensive needs receiving care at home.
- Number of days people spend in hospital when they are ready to be discharged.
- Percentage of total health and care spend on hospital stays where the patient was admitted in an emergency.
- Percentage of people admitted from home to hospital during the year, who are discharged to a care home.*
- Percentage of people who are discharged from hospital within 72 hours of being ready.*
- Expenditure on end-of-life care.*

* Indicates indicator is under development.

Health and social care integration

This report is available in PDF and RTF formats, along with a podcast summary at:

www.audit-scotland.gov.uk 

If you require this publication in an alternative format and/or language, please contact us to discuss your needs: 0131 625 1500

or info@audit-scotland.gov.uk 

For the latest news, reports and updates, follow us on:



Audit Scotland, 4th Floor, 102 West Port, Edinburgh EH3 9DN

T: 0131 625 1500 E: info@audit-scotland.gov.uk 

www.audit-scotland.gov.uk 

ISBN 978 1 909705 76 0 AGS/2015/10

This publication is printed on 100% recycled, uncoated paper

