

Community Asset Transfer Request Review Sub-Committee

General Legal Advice

The Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 ('the 2015 Act') brought into existence a right for certain bodies to request to buy, lease, manage, occupy or use land owned by the Council. A valid request may only be refused on reasonable grounds.

In Renfrewshire, these requests are dealt with in the first instance by a panel convened by the Head of Property Services.

If a request is refused for any reason, the 2015 Act provides that the applicant may apply for a review of that decision. The Community Asset Transfer Request Review Sub-Committee is charged with carrying out that review.

Although the mechanism by which the original decision may be challenged is termed a 'review', it is important to note that the Sub-Committee is not confined to looking at the terms of the original decision. Rather, it is being asked to make the decision again, of new. That allows it to bring in any relevant consideration and not just those dealt with in the original decision.

The Sub-Committee will either:

- agree to the request on the conditions proposed by the applicant;
- agree to the request on conditions different from those proposed;
- refuse the request.

Who May Make a Request?

The first step in determining a request for review is to consider whether it is valid in terms of the 2015 Act. To constitute a valid request, it must come from a '**community transfer body**'.

In order to qualify, a body must be, either:

1. A **community-controlled body**, as defined in s.19 of the 2015 Act. That means, a body (whether corporate or unincorporated) having a written constitution that includes all of the following—
 - (a) a definition of the community to which the body relates,
 - (b) provision that the majority of the members of the body is to consist of members of that community,
 - (c) provision that the members of the body who consist of members of that community have control of the body,
 - (d) provision that membership of the body is open to any member of that community,
 - (e) a statement of the body's aims and purposes, including the promotion of a benefit for that community, and
 - (f) provision that any surplus funds or assets of the body are to be applied for the benefit of that community.

2. A **body that falls within a class specified by the Scottish Ministers by order.**

The Scottish Ministers have specified two such classes: '**community bodies**' or '**crofting community bodies**', as these terms are defined in the Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003. The latter is not likely to apply in Renfrewshire, so is not discussed here.

'**Community bodies**' are bodies that are either:

- a **company limited by guarantee**, that has special provisions in its articles of association;

- a **Scottish charitable incorporated organisation** (an "SCIO") with special provisions in its constitution. SCIOs are a particular type of charitable organisation. **Most charities are NOT SCIOs**, so it is not enough to fall within this class simply to have charitable status. An applicant will be able to confirm if it is registered as an SCIO;

- a **community benefit society** with special provisions in its registered rules.

The special provisions required in each case are numerous. Among other things, they require the body to be linked to a specific community and have a minimum membership from that community. Further advice can be provided as to the specific requirements, if members consider that this may be an issue.

If a body does not fall within one of these categories, it may not make an asset transfer request and the request should be refused.

Valid Requests

If the request is valid, the Sub-Committee may go on to consider the terms of the request. The legislation provides that the request should be approved, unless there are reasonable grounds to refuse it. There is no definition as to what would constitute reasonable grounds for refusal, but the 2015 Act does specify the factors that the Sub-Committee must take into account in coming to its decision. These are:

- (a) the reasons for the request,

- (b) any other information provided in support of the request (whether such other information is contained in the request or otherwise provided),

- (c) whether agreeing to the request would be likely to promote or improve—
 - (i) economic development,
 - (ii) regeneration,
 - (iii) public health,
 - (iv) social wellbeing, or
 - (v) environmental wellbeing,

- (d) whether agreeing to the request would be likely to reduce inequalities of outcome which result from socio-economic disadvantage,

- (e) any other benefits that might arise if the request were agreed to,

- (f) any benefits that might arise if the authority were to agree to or otherwise adopt an alternative proposal in respect of the land to which the request relates,

(g) how such benefits would compare to any benefits such as are mentioned in paragraphs (c) and (e),

(h) how any benefits such as are mentioned in paragraph (f) relate to other matters the authority considers relevant (including, in particular, the functions and purposes of the authority),

(i) any obligations imposed on the authority, by or under any enactment or otherwise, that may prevent, restrict or otherwise affect its ability to agree to the request, and

(j) such other matters (whether or not included in or arising out of the request) as the authority considers relevant.

The last of these headings is potentially wide-ranging and therefore allows the Sub-Committee to bring anything it reasonably considers to be relevant to bear on the decision.

The Sub-Committee may decide to ask for written representations from either the applicant or Property Services on any issue that it considers may be relevant and that it requires more information on. It may also fix a hearing, if it considers that certain issues require to be addressed in person.

The Decision

When a decision is made, members will be asked to confirm the reasons for it, to allow a decision notice to be produced. If the decision is to agree to the request with different conditions from those proposed or to refuse the request, the applicant may appeal the decision further to the Scottish Ministers.

Nairn Young

Assistant Managing Solicitor (Litigation and Advice)

Tel: 07483 390908

April 2021