

**To:                      Audit, Scrutiny & Petitions Board**

**On:                      19 September 2016**

---

**Report by:            Director of Finance and Resources**

---

**Heading:              Petition: Road Surface, Morar Crescent, Bishopton**

---

## **1.      Summary**

- 1.1      A petition comprising around 50 signatures has been received from Mr John Holms in the undernoted terms:

“The purpose of this petition is to make the Council aware of the poor condition of Morar Crescent’s Bishopton, Road Surface. Council action required would be to repair and re-surface the road in Morar Crescent, Bishopton to an acceptable standard.”

- 1.2      The Director of Community Resources advises that the Council at its meeting held on 3 March 2016 agreed that the capital investment programme for the roads and footways network for financial year 2016/17 would be £3.191m. Subsequently it was reported in the Information Bulletin on 29 April 2016 how this investment would be allocated. Morar Crescent, Bishopton is not included in the 2016/17 programme.
- 1.3      The petition is valid in terms of the Council’s procedures for dealing with petitions and the principal petitioner, together with one supporter, has been invited to attend the meeting and they will be asked to make a statement, lasting no more than 10 minutes, in support of the petition.

- 1.4 The role of the Board is to consider the petition, hear and ask questions of the petitioner and take the appropriate action in respect of the petition which will be one of the following:
- (a) that no action is taken, in which case the reasons will be specified and intimated to the petitioner;
  - (b) that the petition be referred to the relevant director/and or policy board for further investigation, with or without any specific recommendation; or
  - (c) refer the petition to another organisation if the petition relates to that organisation.
- 

## **2. Recommendation**

- 2.1 That the Board hears from the principal petitioner.
- 

### **Implications of this report**

- 1. Financial Implications – none**
- 2. HR and Organisational Development Implications – none**
- 3. Community Plan/Council Plan Implications – none**
- 4. Legal Implications – none**
- 5. Property/Assets Implications – none**
- 6. Information Technology Implications – none**
- 7. Equality and Human Rights Implications**
  - (a) The Recommendations contained within this report have been assessed in relation to their impact on equalities and human rights. No negative impacts on equality groups or potential for infringement of individuals' human rights have been identified arising from the recommendations contained in the report because for example it is for noting only. If required following implementation, the actual impact of the recommendations and the mitigating actions will be reviewed and monitored, and the results of the assessment will be published on the Council's website.
- 8. Health and Safety Implications – none**
- 9. Procurement Implications – none**
- 10. Risk Implications – none**

**11. Privacy Impact – none**

---

**List of Background Papers –**

(a) report by Director of Community Resources dated 29 April 2016 to the Information Bulletin;

(b) petition

---

**Author:** Lilian Belshaw, Democratic Services Manager, 0141 618 7112